EXHIBIT 36

```
1
 1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                RICHMOND DIVISION
 3
    ePLUS, INC.,
4
                    Plaintiff,
 5
                                      : Civil Action
     v.
 6
                                     : No. 3:09CV620
    LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,
7
                                     : August 17, 2010
               Defendant. :
 8
 9
10
           COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE CALL
11
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
     APPEARANCES: (All via telephone)
14
15
     Scott L. Robertson, Esq.
     Jennifer A. Albert, Esq.
     GOODWIN PROCTOR
16
     901 New York Avenue, NW
     Washington, D.C. 20001
17
18
     Michael G. Strapp, Esq.
     GOODWIN PROCTOR
19
     53 State Street
     Boston, MA 02109
20
     Craig T. Merritt, Esq.
     Henry I. Willett, III, Esq.
2.1
     CHRISTIAN & BARTON
     909 E. Main Street, Suite 1200
22
     Richmond, VA 23219-3095
23
             Counsel for the plaintiff ePlus
24
                    DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR
2.5
                   OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
```

```
2
     APPEARANCES: (Continuing)
 1
 2
     Daniel W. McDaniel, Esq.
     William D. Schultz, Esq.
     MERCHANT & GOULD
 3
     80 South 8th Street
     Suite, 3200
 4
     Minneapolis, MN 55402
 5
     Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esq.
     MERCHANT & GOULD
 6
     1050 17th Street, Suite 1950
 7
     Denver, CO 80265
     Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esq.
 8
     TROUTMAN SANDERS
 9
     Troutman Sanders Building
     1001 Haxall Point
10
     P.O. Box 1122
     Richmond, VA 23218-1122
11
              Counsel for the defendant Lawson Software
12
     ALSO PRESENT:
13
14
     Leslie Wagner, Law Clerk
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

his fact witness hat and when he puts on his expert witness hat, but there are ways to deal with that, and we'll be happy to make proposals to the Court as to when he can be an expert or when he's just testifying in his percipient factual witness capacity.

The second issue is that it's been represented to us that he will be raising theories that go outside of the scope of what Your Honor has ruled is the four corners of the invalidity theories that the witnesses can present.

I thought we addressed that issue last
Thursday. Ms. Stoll-DeBell wasn't on that call. That
was the call regarding Dr. Mangum. I raised this
issue again on the call. The Court indicated that
there would not be any new theories on invalidity
rulings. There was a ruling, but we're a little
confused.

I'm sure now that there will be no expert testimony on invalidity theories that weren't disclosed pursuant to the orders. That issue has previously been briefed and decided. I'm not going to revisit that issue. If I was wrong, I was wrong, but that's going to be the rule, and I'm sure Lawson will abide by it, won't you, Ms. Stoll-DeBell?

MS. STOLL-DeBELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And if you don't, if your toe is off base, your expert's foot is off base, the hatchet will come down upon it, and your expert will go out the door.

Now, that's what happens here if you-all start transcending the rulings that have been previously made defining the boundaries. So I know they won't do that. So we don't have that problem now, and we'll just abide the event.

Next? Anything else? That's it, isn't it?

MR. ROBERTSON: No. The last issue, sir, and this is, you know, in anticipation of filing this motion tomorrow with respect to Dr. Green.

One of the issues, you'll recall, was a discussion about whether the depositions of the damages experts actually were part of -- part and parcel of the disclosure under Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

And I understood and appreciated that Mr. McDonald confirmed that that arrangement was agreed upon.

Dr. Mangum did opine on Dr. Green's opinions in his deposition and rebuttal. His only opportunity to do so.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think we're all sort of mindful of the same questions then.

All right. Thank you all very much. Make sure you come to the settlement conference with Judge Dohnal with reason. And it's been a hard-fought case, and I think you don't want the atmosphere of the case to effect the business operations that are inevitably involved in trying to reach a business settlement of a business issue.

All right. Thank you all very much.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.

THE COURT: Bye-bye.

(The proceedings were adjourned at 3:00 p.m.)

I, Diane J. Daffron, certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes.

/s/ 8/20/10

DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR, CCR DATE